## CMSC424: Database Design

Instructor: Amol Deshpande amol@cs.umd.edu

## Spring 2020 - Online Instruction Plan

Modified to swap the last two projects

- Week 1: File Organization and Indexes
- Week 2: Query Processing
- Week 3: Query Optimization; Architectures/Parallel 1
- Week 4: Parallel Databases + MapReduce; Transactions 1
- Week 5: Transactions 2


## Spring 2020 - Online Instruction Plan

- Week 1: File Organization and Indexes
- Week 2: Query Processing
- Week 3 (Homework Due April 17, Noon)
- Query Optimization 1: Overview, Statistics
- Query Optimization 2: Equivalences, Search Algorithms
- Architectures/Parallel Databases Introduction
- Week 4: Parallel Databases; Mapreduce; Transactions 1
- Map-reduce and Apache Spark (will post early for Project 5)
- Week 5: Transactions 2


## Getting Deeper into Query Processing

User
select * from $R$, $S$ where ...


Resolve the references, Syntax errors etc.
Converts the query to an internal format relational algebra like

Find the best way to evaluate the query

Which index to use ?
What join method to use?

Read the data from the files Do the query processing
joins, selections, aggregates

## Getting Deeper into Query Processing



## Query Optimization

- Book Chapters
- 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4
- Key topics:
- Why query optimization is so important?
- How to enumerate different query plans for a single SQL query
- How to estimate the sizes of "intermediate results"
- How to "search" the space of all query plans efficiently


## Query Optimization

- Overview
- Statistics Estimation
- Transformation of Relational Expressions
- Optimization Algorithms


## Equivalence of Expressions

- Two relational expressions equivalent iff:
- Their result is identical on all legal databases
- Equivalence rules:
- Allow replacing one expression with another
- Examples:

1. $\sigma_{\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2}}(E)=\sigma_{\theta_{1}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{2}}(E)\right)$
2. Selections are commutative

$$
\sigma_{\theta_{1}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{2}}(E)\right)=\sigma_{\theta_{2}}\left(\sigma_{\theta_{1}}(E)\right)
$$

## Equivalence Rules

- Examples:

3. $\Pi_{L_{1}}\left(\Pi_{L_{2}}\left(\ldots\left(\Pi_{L_{n}}(E)\right) \ldots\right)\right)=\Pi_{L_{1}}(E)$
4. $E_{1} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{2}=E_{2} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{1}$

7(a). If $\theta_{0}$ only involves attributes from $E_{1}$

$$
\sigma_{\theta 0}\left(E_{1} \bowtie_{\theta} E_{2}\right)=\left(\sigma_{\theta 0}\left(E_{1}\right)\right)^{\bowtie}{ }_{\theta} E_{2}
$$

- And so on...
- Many rules of this type


## Pictorial Depiction



## Example

- Find the names of all customers with an account at a Brooklyn branch whose account balance is over $\$ 1000$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{\text {customer_name }}\left(\sigma_{\text {branch_city }}=\text { "Brooklyn" } \wedge \text { balance }>1000\right. \\
(\text { branch } \bowtie(\text { account } \bowtie \text { depositor })))
\end{gathered}
$$

- Apply the rules one by one

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{\text {customer_name }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {branch_city }}=\text { "Brooklyn" } \wedge \text { balance }>1000\right.\right. \\
(\text { branch } \bowtie \text { account })) \bowtie \text { depositor })
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi_{\text {customer_name }}\left(\left(( \sigma _ { \text { branch_city } } = \text { "Brooklyn" } ( \text { branch } ) ) \bowtie \left(\sigma_{\text {balance }}>1000\right.\right.\right. \\
\quad(\text { account }))) \bowtie \text { depositor })
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example


(a) Initial expression tree
(b) Tree after multiple transformations

## Equivalence of Expressions

- The rules give us a way to enumerate all equivalent expressions
- Note that the expressions don't contain physical access methods, join methods etc...
- Simple Algorithm:
- Start with the original expression
- Apply all possible applicable rules to get a new set of expressions
- Repeat with this new set of expressions
- Till no new expressions are generated


## Equivalence of Expressions

- Works, but is not feasible
- Consider a simple case:
- $R 1 \bowtie(R 2 \bowtie(R 3 \bowtie(\ldots \bowtie R n))) \ldots$.
- Just join commutativity and associativity will give us:
- At least:
- $n^{\wedge} 2{ }^{*} 2^{\wedge} n$
- At worst:
- n ! ${ }^{2 \wedge} \mathrm{n}$
- Typically the process of enumeration is combined with the search process


## Evaluation Plans

- We still need to choose the join methods etc..
- Option 1: Choose for each operation separately
- Usually okay, but sometimes the operators interact
- Consider joining three relations on the same attribute:
- $R 1 \bowtie_{a}\left(R 2 \bowtie_{a} R 3\right)$
- Best option for R2 join R3 might be hash-join
- But if R1 is sorted on a, then sort-merge join is preferable
- Because it produces the result in sorted order by a
- Also, we need to decide whether to use pipelining or materialization
- Such issues are typically taken into account when doing the optimization


## Query Optimization

- Introduction
- Statistics Estimation
- Transformation of Relational Expressions
- Optimization Algorithms


## Optimization Algorithms

- Two types:
- Exhaustive: That attempt to find the best plan
- Heuristical: That are simpler, but are not guaranteed to find the optimal plan
- Consider a simple case
- Join of the relations R1, ..., Rn
- No selections, no projections
- Still very large plan space


## Searching for the best plan

- Option 1:
- Enumerate all equivalent expressions for the original query expression
- Using the rules outlined earlier
- Estimate cost for each and choose the lowest
- Too expensive !
- Consider finding the best join-order for $r_{1} \bowtie r_{2} \bowtie \ldots r_{n}$.
- There are $(2(n-1))!/(n-1)$ ! different join orders for above expression. With $n=7$, the number is 665280, with $n=10$, the number is greater than 176 billion!


## Searching for the best plan

- Option 2:
- Dynamic programming
- There is too much commonality between the plans
- Also, costs are additive
- Caveat: Sort orders (also called "interesting orders")
- Reduces the cost down to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n} 3^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)$ or $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n} 2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}\right)$ in most cases
- Interesting orders increase this a little bit
- Considered acceptable
- Typically $\mathrm{n}<10$.
- Switch to heuristic if not acceptable


## Heuristic Optimization

- Dynamic programming is expensive
- Use heuristics to reduce the number of choices
- Typically rule-based:
- Perform selection early (reduces the number of tuples)
- Perform projection early (reduces the number of attributes)
- Perform most restrictive selection and join operations before other similar operations.
- Some systems use only heuristics, others combine heuristics with partial cost-based optimization.


## Query Optimization

- Introduction
- Transformation of Relational Expressions
- Optimization Algorithms
- Statistics Estimation
- Summary


## Query Optimization

- Integral component of query processing
- Why?
- One of the most complex pieces of code in a database system
- Active area of research
- E.g. XML Query Optimization?
- What if you don't know anything about the statistics
- Better statistics
- Etc ...

